arXiv:hep-th/0610189v1 17 Oct 2006

Canonical Form of Field Equations

Ying-Qiu Gu*

Department of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China

Abstract

In this paper, we derive a canonical representation for the first order hyperbolic equation systems with their coefficient matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$, and then demonstrate some of its applications. This canonical formalism can naturally give a unified description for the fundamental fields in physics.

PACS numbers: 11.10.-z, 11.10.Cd, 12.10.-g

Keywords:*Clifford algebra, quaternion, canonical field equation*

1 Introduction

Dirac derived his celebrated equation via the Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$, but the researches on this algebra in physical aspect seems to be limited at this simplest equation and the abundant connotations of $Cl(1,3)$ are overlooked. In mathematical aspect, the researches on the Clifford algebra are quite thorough and complete. The complex and real irreducible representations of this algebra have been studied in details, and complete results for the classification and the reduction are provided [1-9]. However these studies are all in abstract level, and the results are inconvenient for physical applications.

In this paper we give a concrete representation for $Cl(1,3)$, then show an equivalent canonical form of the first order hyperbolic equation with the coefficient matrices

*email: yqgu@luody.com.cn

satisfying $Cl(1,3)$, and then display some of its applications. An early version of this work once published in [10], but it is not easy to accessible. Here we give a review and some improvements for this work.

According to some simple postulates, we find that the field variables $u(x^\mu) = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)^T$ of a physical system satisfies the first order hyperbolic equation as follows[11]

$$\partial_0 u = A_k \partial_k u + B(u, x, t), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{c\partial t}$, $A_k\partial_k = A_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + A_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + A_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}$, the index T stands for transposed matrix. Considering covariant principle, for any physical system, (1.1) should satisfies the Lorentz invariance. This is in some sense equivalent to that the second order form of (1.1) satisfies wave equation $(\partial_0^2 - \partial_k\partial_k)u = F(\partial_\mu u, u, x, t)$. For this reason, the following restriction condition for coefficient matrices is natural,

$$A_k A_l + A_l A_k = 2\delta_{kl}. \tag{1.2}$$

That is to say, we accept that the coefficient matrices satisfy the Clifford algebra $Cl(3,0)$. Under this condition, we will prove that $u(x^\mu)$ must have even elements, and there exists a linear transformation $v = Q^{-1}u$, such that (1.1) can be transformed into the following canonical form

$$\partial_0 v = \alpha^k \partial_k v + b(u, x, t), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\alpha^k = \mathrm{diag}[\sigma^k, \sigma^k, \cdots, \sigma^k; -\sigma^k, -\sigma^k, \cdots, -\sigma^k], \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.5}$$

Further more, for $3+1$ dimensional form $A^\mu\partial_\mu u = F(u)$, under the restriction of Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$

$$A^\mu A^\nu + A^\nu A^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1.6}$$

where we choice the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}[1, -1, -1, -1]$, then we have the canonical form of dynamic equation

$$\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu v = f(v), \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^\mu \\ \widetilde{\alpha}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\alpha^0 = I = \widetilde{\alpha}^0, \quad \alpha^k = \mathrm{diag}[\sigma^k, \sigma^k, \cdots, \sigma^k] = -\widetilde{\alpha}^k. \tag{1.9}$$

2 Proof of the Main Result

In this section, the tensor formalism and the Einstein's summation are not adopted, and all variables and parameters are regarded as local quantities for temporary use.

Lemma 1. *Assume $\rho_3 = \mathrm{diag}[I_n, -I_n]$, and I_n denotes $n \times n$ identity matrix. Let γ be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix, then*

$$\rho_3\gamma - \gamma\rho_3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \mathrm{diag}[A_1, A_2], \tag{2.1}$$

$$\rho_3\gamma + \gamma\rho_3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

where A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are $n \times n$ matrices, $\Leftrightarrow$ stands for equivalence in logic.

Lemma 2. *Assume $q^2 = I$, i.e. q is an involutory matrix, then*

$$q\rho_3 + \rho_3 q = 0 \Leftrightarrow q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

where A is an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix.

Proof. By (2.2), we have

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition by $q^2 = I$, we get $AB = BA = I_n$. This finishes the proof.

Lemma 3. *Assume the following equalities hold,*

$$\rho_1^2 = I, \qquad \rho_1\rho_3 + \rho_3\rho_1 = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

then there exists a nonsingular matrix Q_1, such that

$$Q_1^{-1}\rho_3 Q_1 = \rho_3, \qquad Q_1^{-1}\rho_1 Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho_3 = \mathrm{diag}[I_n, -I_n]$

Proof: By (2.5) and Lemma2, we have

$$\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix. Let

$$Q_1 = \mathrm{diag}[I_n, A^{-1}], \qquad Q_1^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}[I_n, A], \tag{2.7}$$

we get (2.6).

Lemma 4. *Assume*

$$\rho_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

then for nonsingular Q_2 we can check

$$Q_2\rho_1 = \rho_1 Q_2 \text{ and } Q_2\rho_3 = \rho_3 Q_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_2 = \text{diag}[C, C]. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 5. *Assume $\rho_2^2 = I$, then we have*

$$\rho_2\rho_k + \rho_k\rho_2 = 0, (\ k = 1, 3) \Leftrightarrow \rho_2 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_3 are defined by (2.8), $E^2 = I_n$ is an involutory matrix.

Proof: It suffices to prove the part "only if ". Noticing $\rho_2\rho_3 + \rho_3\rho_2 = 0$ and (2.2), we have

$$\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.11}$$

In addition by $\rho_2\rho_1 + \rho_1\rho_2 = 0$, we get $B_1 = -B_2$. Let $B_2 = iE$, then the result holds by $\rho_2^2 = I$.

Lemma 6. *Let ρ_1 and ρ_3 be defined by (2.1) and ρ_2 by (2.10), then there exists a nonsingular matrix Q_3, such that*

$$Q_3^{-1}\rho_k Q_3 = \rho_k, (\ k = 1, 3), \tag{2.12}$$

$$Q_3^{-1}\rho_2 Q_3 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $D = \text{diag}[I_m, -I_{n-m}]$.

Proof: Let $Q_3 = \text{diag}[K, K]$, where K is a nonsingular matrix, then (2.12) holds by lemma 4. Let E be given by (2.10), and assume that E have m eigenvalues equal to 1 , and $n - m$ eigenvalues equal to -1. So we can find a nonsingular matrix K such that

$$K^{-1}EK = \text{diag}[I_m, -I_{n-m}] \equiv D. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover

$$Q_3^{-1}\rho_2 Q_3 = i \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.15}$$

This finished the proof.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that matrices A_k satisfy*

$$A_kA_l + A_lA_k = 2\delta_{kl}I,\ (\forall k, l = 1, 2, 3), \tag{2.16}$$

then there exists a nonsingular matrix Q such that

$$Q^{-1}A_kQ = \mathrm{diag}[\xi^k, -\zeta^k],\ (k = 1, 2, 3), \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\xi^k = \mathrm{diag}[\overbrace{\sigma^k, \sigma^k, \cdots, \sigma^k}^{m}], \tag{2.18}$$

$$\zeta^k = \mathrm{diag}[\overbrace{\sigma^k, \sigma^k, \cdots, \sigma^k}^{n-m}], \tag{2.19}$$

and $m(0 \le m \le n)$ is independent of k.

Proof: We prove the theorem by five steps.

1°. By (2.16) we have $A_k^2 = I$, so we can find a nonsingular matrix P_1 such that

$$P_1^{-1}A_3P_1 = \mathrm{diag}[I_n, -I_n] = \rho_3, \tag{2.20}$$

or

$$P_1^{-1}A_3P_1 = \mathrm{diag}[I_N, -I_N, I], \tag{2.21}$$

or

$$P_1^{-1}A_3P_1 = \mathrm{diag}[I_N, -I_N, -I]. \tag{2.22}$$

In what follows, we will prove that (2.21) or (2.22) contradicts the condition (2.16).

We prove the results by contradiction. Assume (2.21) holds, Let

$$P_1^{-1}A_1P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 \\ F_3 & F_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

where F_1 is a $2N \times 2N$ matrix. Substituting (2.21) and (2.23) into $A_1A_3 + A_3A_1 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1 \\ f_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ F_3 = (0, f_3), \qquad\qquad F_4 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

where f_2 is a N row matrix, f_3 is a N column matrix. In addition by $A_1^2 = I$ and (2.24), we have

$$F_1^2 + F_2F_3 = I, \qquad F_3F_2 = I, \tag{2.25}$$

$$F_1F_2 = 0, \qquad F_3F_1 = 0. \tag{2.26}$$

By (2.26) we have $F_2 = F_3 = 0$, this is a contradiction to the equality $F_3F_2 = I$, so (2.21) doesn't hold. Similarly, (2.22) also doesn't hold.

2°. By Lemma 3, we can find a nonsingular matrix P_2 such that $P_2^{-1}\rho_3 P_2 = \rho_3$, and

$$P_2^{-1}(P_1^{-1}\rho_1 P_1)P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1$$

3°. By Lemma 6, we can find a nonsingular matrix P_3 such that

$$P_3^{-1}\rho_k P_3 = \rho_k, \ (k = 1, 3),$$

$$(P_1P_2P_3)^{-1}A_2(P_1P_2P_3) = i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_2,$$

where $D = \mathrm{diag}[I_m, -I_{n-m}]$.

4°. Define permutation matrix

$$\mathcal{P}_{jk} \equiv \mathrm{diag}\left[I_{j-1}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & I_{k-j-1} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_{2n-k}\right], \tag{2.27}$$

$$P_4^{-1} = \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{k=1}^{l} \mathcal{P}_{2k,n+2k-1}, & \text{if} \quad n = 2l, \\ \prod\limits_{k=1}^{l} \mathcal{P}_{2k,n+2k-1} \prod\limits_{j=n}^{2n-1} \mathcal{P}_{j,j+1}, & \text{if} \quad n = 2l+1, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

then we have

$$P_4^{-1}\rho_k P_4 = \mathrm{diag}[\sigma^k, \sigma^k, \cdots, \sigma^k], \ (k = 1, 3), \tag{2.29}$$

$$P_4^{-1}\rho_2 P_4 = \mathrm{diag}[\overbrace{\sigma^2, \sigma^2, \cdots, \sigma^2}^{m}, \overbrace{-\sigma^2, -\sigma^2, \cdots, -\sigma^2}^{n-m}]. \tag{2.30}$$

5°. Let

$$Q = P_1P_2P_3P_4\mathrm{diag}[I_{2m}; \sigma^2, \sigma^2, \cdots, \sigma^2], \tag{2.31}$$

then (2.17) holds.

As corollaries of Theorem 1, we have equation (1.3) under condition (1.2), and equation (1.7) under condition (1.6).

3 Application

In what follows, we expand some elemental cases of (1.7) with linear $f(v)$. The results are well known, but the viewpoint seems to be enlightening.

For (1.7), we define quaternionic partial operators

$$\partial = \alpha^\mu \partial_\mu, \qquad \widetilde{\partial} = \widetilde{\alpha}^\mu \partial_\mu. \tag{3.1}$$

Considering the covariant of the equation (1.7), we find for any linear case, (1.7) must take the form similar to [10]

$$\begin{cases} \partial\Psi = k_1\widetilde{\Psi}, \\ \widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{\Psi} = k_2\Psi, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ have the same number of elements but obey different transformation rule. That is to say, under the restriction of Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$, the physical fields always appear in couples.

A. Dirac Equation(fields with spin $\frac{1}{2}$)

The least system of (3.2) is the case $\alpha^\mu = \sigma^\mu$. For this case, if $k_1k_2 \neq 0$, making transformation

$$\psi = \sqrt{k_2}\Psi,\ \widetilde{\psi} = \sqrt{k_1}\widetilde{\Psi},\ m = i\sqrt{k_1k_2},$$

we get Dirac equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial\psi = m\widetilde{\psi}, \\ i\widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{\psi} = m\psi. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

B. Maxwell equation(fields with spin 1)

The case of (3.2) just more complicated than (3.3) is that Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ have 4 elements, or the coefficient matrix (1.9) consists of two Pauli matrices, i.e.,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = -\Psi, \qquad \widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_3 \\ b_2 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} = k_1\widetilde{\Psi}, \tag{3.4}$$

then the field equation (3.2) with source eQ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \partial A = -\widetilde{A}, \\ \widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{A} = m^2A - eQ, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where e denotes the coupling coefficient(charge).

For the case $m = 0$, expressing A, $\widetilde{A}$ and Q by quaternion, i.e.,

$$A = A^\mu\sigma_\mu, \quad \widetilde{A} = H^\mu\sigma_\mu, \quad Q = q^\mu\sigma_\mu, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\sigma_\mu = (\sigma^0, -\sigma^1, -\sigma^2, -\sigma^3)$ is taken as quaternionic basis, then (3.5) is equivalent to the following vector formalism

$$\begin{cases} \beta^\mu\partial_\mu V = -H, \\ \widetilde{\beta}^\mu\partial_\mu H = -eq, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\beta^0 = I$, and

$$\beta^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{cases} V = (A^0, A^1, A^2, A^3)^T, \\ H = (H^0, H^1, H^2, H^3)^T, \\ q = (q^0, q^1, q^2, q^3)^T, \\ \widetilde{\beta}^\mu = (\beta^0, -\beta^1, -\beta^2, -\beta^3). \end{cases}$$

If the following conservation law holds, which is inevitable in a larger coupling system

$$\partial_\mu q^\mu = \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0,$$

then the $3-d$ vector form of (3.7) becomes standard Maxwell equation[12]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu q^\mu = \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0, & \partial^\alpha \partial_\alpha A^\mu = e q^\mu, \\ \overrightarrow{E} = -\nabla A^0 - \partial_0 \overrightarrow{A}, & \overrightarrow{B} = \nabla \times \overrightarrow{A}, \\ \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{E} = e q^0, & \nabla \times \overrightarrow{E} = -\partial_0 \overrightarrow{B}, \\ \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{B} = 0, & \nabla \times \overrightarrow{B} = \partial_0 \overrightarrow{E} + e\overrightarrow{q}, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\overrightarrow{H} \equiv \overrightarrow{E} + \overrightarrow{B} i, \quad \overrightarrow{A} \equiv (A^1, A^2, A^3), \quad \overrightarrow{q} \equiv (q^1, q^2, q^3). \tag{3.10}$$

The formalism $\overrightarrow{H} = \overrightarrow{E} + \overrightarrow{B} i$ is once noticed by several authors, but there it was artificially constructed[13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. By (3.7) we learn that the magnetic charge should be the imaginary part of the complex coefficient e. But in the coupling system of spinor and vector, the stability of the system requires the charge e in (3.5) or (3.7) to be a real. This requirement is equivalent to the assertion that the magnetic charge should be absent in the Nature. For the case $m \neq 0$, (3.5) seems to be meaningful in describing the strong interaction.

In curved spacetime, denote the generalized form of β^a and $\widetilde{\beta}^a$ by

$$g^{\mu\nu} = h^\mu{}_a h^\nu{}_b \eta^{ab}, \quad \rho^\mu = h^\mu{}_a \beta^a, \quad \widetilde{\rho}^\mu = h^\mu{}_a \widetilde{\beta}^a.$$

Then the Maxwell equation (3.7) have the following Dirac-like formalism

$$\begin{cases} (\rho^\mu \partial_\mu + M)V = -H, \\ (\widetilde{\rho}^\mu \partial_\mu + N)H = -eq, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where $V,\ H$ are vectors projected to the tetrad frame,

$$\begin{cases} M = \frac{1}{12}\widetilde{\rho}^\mu J^\nu \rho_\nu \rho_\mu + \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{\rho}_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\nu J^\nu \rho^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\rho}_\mu J^\mu, \\ N = \frac{1}{12}\widetilde{\rho}_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\nu J^\nu \rho^\mu + \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{\rho}^\nu J^\mu \rho_\mu \rho_\nu - \frac{1}{2} J^\mu \rho_\mu, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

and matrices J^μ take the following form

$$J_\mu = K_\mu I + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \rho^\beta - \widetilde{\rho}^\beta \rho^\alpha), \tag{3.13}$$

here K_μ is a number similar to $\partial_\mu \sqrt{g}$.

C. Tensor equation(fields with spin 2)

Similar to (3.7), we have the linear dynamic equation of tensor as follows

$$\begin{cases} \beta^\mu \partial_\mu G = F, \\ \widetilde{\beta}^\mu \partial_\mu F = \lambda G + \kappa T, \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

where $G = (G^{\mu\nu})$ is a covariant tensor in matrix form, $T = (T^{\mu\nu})$ is the source tensor, $F = \widetilde{G}$ is the complex field intensity. Whether the generalized form of (3.14) in curved spacetime is equivalent to Einstein equation is unknown, because the gravitation is quite different from the matter fields. For the matter fields u, the affine connection is independent of $\partial_\mu u$. But for the metric tensor $(g^{\mu\nu})$, we can not realize this intention via (3.14). However the Einstein's equation can be expressed by a Clifford algebra isomorphic to a direct product of two quaternion algebras[18]. The quaternion algebra should play a more important role in physics.

An integrative application of system (1.7) or (3.2) is given in [19].

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Academician Ta-tsien Li, Prof. Guang-Jiong Ni and Prof. Han-Ji Shang for guidance, thank Prof. Hao Wang, Prof. Er-Qiang Chen and Mr. Hai-Bin Lei for their encouragement and help.

References

[1] C. Chevalley, *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors*, Columbia Univ. Press, New York,1954

[2] H. Boerner, *Representation of Groups*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1963

[3] L. Jansen, M. Boon, *Theory of Finite Groups and Applications in Physics*, Wiley, New York, 1967

[4] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Shapire, Topology 3 **(suppl.1)**, 3-38 (1964)

[5] P. Lounesto, G. P. Wene, Acta Appl. Math. **9**, 165-173 (1987)

[6] S. Okuko, J. Math. Phys., **32(7)**, 1669-1673 (1991)

[7] G. N. Hile, D. Lounesto, Lin. Alg. Appl., **128** 51-63 (1990)

[8] Y. Brihaye, et al, J. Math. Phys., **33(5)**, 1579-1581 (1992)

[9] S. Okubo, J. Math. Phys., **32(7)**, 1657-1668 (1991)

[10] Y. Q. Gu, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, **7(1)**, 13-24 (1997)

[11] Y. Q. Gu, Ph. D. Thesis, Inst. of Math., Fudan Univ. (1995)

[12] R. A. Sharipov, *Classical Electrodynamics and Theory of Relativity*, Publ. of Bashkir State University Ufa, (1997). arXiv: physics/0311011

[13] D. Hestenes, Am. J. Phys., **39** 1013-1038 (1971)

[14] K. R. Greider, Found. Phys., **14** 467-506 (1984)

[15] J. Keller, Int. J. Theor. Phys., **30(2)**, 137-184 (1991)

[16] J. Keller, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, **3(2)**, 147-200 (1993)

[17] M. Sachs, *General Relativity and Matter*, D. Reidel, 1982

[18] P. R. Girard, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, **9(2)**, 225-230(1999)

[19] Y. Q. Gu, *New Approach to N-body Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, arXiv: hep-th/0610153